

I don't see how anyone can believe that the public airwaves being controlled by a few very large organizations can be for the public good.

But what was previously just my own hard-to-describe fears crystalize into a tangible argument when I hear about something like what Sinclair Broadcasting is doing.

They are pressuring their member stations to run partisan programming - in the form of an anti-Kerry documentary - in the last few weeks before the elections.

If companies like Sinclair have access to the public airwaves free of charge, they should be forced to serve the public interest. And the public (at least US citizens) are clearly entitled to their own opinion and their own vote.

I may be accused of being in favor of "big Government" - even if the current resident, George W. Bush - has presided over the largest expansion of gov't in US history. But this example clearly indicates why there should be more regulation about media consolidation and ownership, not less.

I urge you to stop Sinclair's partisan attempts at influencing a very close election. But further, I hereby lodge a formal complaint against them and urge the FCC to reconsider current regulations.

Sincerely,

Samuel H. Besalel